UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,400	07/18/2003	Lewis Conrad Keller	CFLAY.00212	4684	
	7590 12/21/2006 CAHOON, LLP		CFLAY.00212 4684  EXAMINER  BECKER, DREW E	INER	
P O BOX 8023	34		<u> </u>		
DALLAS, TX	75380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	NTHS	12/21/2006	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/622,400	KELLER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Drew E. Becker	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		•	
Status	•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Se	eptember 2006.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	•				
Disposition of Claims					
<u> </u>	ànnliaation				
4) Claim(s) 2-12 and 14-24 is/are pending in the a	• •		Lie	**	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wii iioiii consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-12, 14-24</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement	·			
•	r cicolion requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		بمدير	<b></b> %	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.	<b>.</b>	100	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob-	jected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d	d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTC	)-152.	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	•		**	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	tage		
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.		•	
·					
Attachment(s)				*	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/622,400

Art Unit: 1761

3.

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires 1. the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims 19-23 have been renumbered 20-24.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 2. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains... Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made
- Claims 2-12,14-16, and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller [Pat. No. 4,869,911] in view of Weinstein et al [Pat. No. 5,639,485] and Parsons et al [Pat. No. 6,509,049]. Keller teaches a method comprising the steps of plasticizing a farinaceous food mixture containing 5-17% plasticizer (column 2, line 22) including monosaccharides, polysaccharides, and alcohols (column 3, lines 12-51), a moisture content of 9-17%

(column 2, line 25), directing the flow to a central passage of a co-rotating twin screw

extruder (column 4, lines 8-17), extruding the flow through a nozzle (column 4, line 51),

Application/Control Number: 10/622,400

Art Unit: 1761

the product having a moisture content of 4-8% and water activity level of 0.30-0.45 (column 2, line 35), the plasticizer including 4-6% corn syrup solids, 0.5-2.0% sucrose, 3-6% polydextrose, and 0.5-2.5% glycerol (column 3, lines 53-59), 6-15% plasticizer (column 4, line 1), corn meal (column 3, line 3), and a reduction in cross-sectional area of about 9.2:1 (column 4, lines 51-63). Keller does not recite injecting a continuous band of fluid additive, a die insert with a capillary channel and peripheral reservoir manifold, a fluid supply port and fluid additive source, and a static mixer. Weinstein et al teach a method of extruding complex patterns by using a die insert (Figure 2, #20), injecting a fluid additive into the cleft (Figure 4, #48), capillary channels (Figure 3, #52, 54, 56), a peripheral reservoir (Figure 4, #58), and a fluid supply port and source (Figure 2, #18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the fluid injection means of Weinstein et al into the invention of Keller since both are directed to methods of extruding farinaceous materials, since Keller already included the concept of co-extrusion (column 5, lines 17-35), and since the fluid injection means of Weinstein et al provided an effective means for providing multi-colored food product with complex patterns which were valued by consumers (column 1, lines 5-34). Parsons et al teach a food extruder including static mixer elements (Figure 1, #46), injecting a continuous band of fluid (Figure 7, #86, 88, 90, 92), and multiple segregated areas of different colors (Figure 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the features of Parsons et al into the invention of Keller, in view of Weinstein et al, since all are directed to methods of extruding foods, since Weinstein et al was directed to making complex patterns in the extruded product with plural materials,

Application/Control Number: 10/622,400

Art Unit: 1761

changing the size and shape of the ports, and further mixing (column 6, lines 43-56), since the static mixer elements of Parsons et al would have provided a means for making a swirled or marbled effect (column 7, line 13), and since the continuous bands emerging from the slots (Figure 7, #86, 88, 90, 92) of Parsons et al provided a more uniform layer of fluid as compared to individual holes.

4. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keller, in view of Weinstein et al and Parsons et al, as applied above, and further in view of Smeaton [Pat. No. 6,170,979].

Keller, Weinstein et al, and Parsons et al teach the above mentioned concepts. Keller, Weinstein et al, and Parsons et al do not recite segregated quadrants with separate fluid supply ports and sources. Smeaton teaches a method for fluid injection comprising segregated quadrants with separate fluid supply ports and sources (Figure 2, #12-13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the segregated quadrants of Smeaton into the invention of Keller, in view of Weinstein et al and Parsons et al, since all are directed to methods of preparing food, since Weinstein et al already included multiple additives and changing the size and shape of the insert (column 6, lines 43-56), since Parsons et al already included plural supply lines (Figure 4), and since the segregated quadrants of Smeaton provided greater flexibility in the type and shape of the resulting extrudate.

## Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1761

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-12 and 14-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DREW BECKER PRIMARY EXAMINER

12/19/06